



**UNIVERSITY
OF MALAYA**

The Leader in Research & Innovation

اللَّهُمَّ اجْعَلْهُمُ
مِنْ رَحْمَتِكَ

LITERATURE REVIEWING WITH RESEARCH TOOLS

Part 3: Writing Literature Review

Nader Ale Ebrahim, PhD

Visiting Research Fellow

Centre for Research Services

Institute of Management and Research Services

University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia



aalebrahim@um.edu.my



[@aalebrahim](https://twitter.com/aalebrahim)



www.researcherid.com/rid/C-2414-2009

<http://scholar.google.com/citations>



23rd May 2017



All of my presentations are available online at:

https://figshare.com/authors/Nader_Ale_Ebrahim/100797

Link to this presentation: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4700611.v1> (Old version)

LITERATURE REVIEWING WITH RESEARCH TOOLS

Part 3: Writing Literature Review

Nader Ale Ebrahim, PhD

=====
Centre for Research Services
Institute of Management and Research Services
University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
www.researcherid.com/rid/C-2414-2009
<http://scholar.google.com/citations>

Read more:

1. Ale Ebrahim, N. (2013). Introduction to the Research Tools mind map. *Research World*, 10, Article A10.4. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2280007>
2. Ale Ebrahim, Nader (2017): LITERATURE REVIEWING WITH RESEARCH TOOLS, Part 2: Finding proper articles. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5005421.v1>
3. Ale Ebrahim, Nader (2017): LITERATURE REVIEWING WITH RESEARCH TOOLS, Part 1: Systematic Review. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5005412.v1>


Abstract

Abstract: “[Research Tools](#)” enable researchers to collect, organize, analyze, visualize and publicized research outputs. Dr. Nader has collected over 700 tools that enable students to follow the correct path in research and to ultimately produce high-quality research outputs with more accuracy and efficiency. It is assembled as an interactive Web-based mind map, titled “Research Tools”, which is updated periodically. “[Research Tools](#)” consists of a hierarchical set of nodes. It has four main nodes: (1) Searching the literature, (2) Writing a paper, (3) Targeting suitable journals, and (4) Enhancing visibility and impact of the research. In this workshop some tools from the part 2 ([Writing a paper](#)) will be described. The e-skills learned from the workshop are useful across various research disciplines and research institutions.

Keywords: Literature review, Improve citation, ISI papers, Research impact, Open access, h-index, Research Visibility

Outline

No.	Topic
Day 3:	
21	Indexing desktop search tool
22	The paraphrasing & editing tool
23	Avoid plagiarism
24	Organize the references (Reference management) tool
25	Writing a Literature Review
26	A Structured Abstract
27	Integrating arguments in paragraphs
28	Verbs for referencing



Indexing desktop search tool

dtSearch Google Desktop Windows Search

The screenshot shows the dtSearch website with a navigation menu (Overview, Case Studies, Orders, Downloads, Support, And More) and a main content area. On the left, there are links for 'Search Site and Web Demo', 'Reviews', 'Case Studies', 'Features Map', 'Desktop Evaluations', and 'Developer Evaluations'. A quote from PC AI describes it as a 'powerful text mining engine'. The main text highlights 'Instantly Search Terabytes of Text' and lists product line features such as support for 25+ full-text and fielded data search options, international languages, and various file formats. It also mentions a 'Smart Choice for Text Retrieval since 1991'.

The screenshot shows the Google Desktop website. It features a prominent 'Install Google Desktop' button with the text 'Free and installs in seconds'. Below this, it lists features like 'Desktop search' (searching the computer as easily as the web) and 'Sidebar with gadgets' (adding Google Gadgets to customize the desktop). The footer includes copyright information for 2009 Google and links to Terms of Service, Privacy Policy, and Google Downloads.

The screenshot shows the Windows Search 4.0 website. It features a large image of a man at a laptop and the text 'Get the fastest and most reliable Windows search.' Below this, there are sections for 'Watch the videos', 'Windows Search Administration Guide', and 'Find critical information faster'. A sidebar on the left offers 'Highlights' for Business Users, IT Professionals, and Developers. The Microsoft logo and 'Forefront' branding are also visible.

The screenshot shows a Windows desktop environment with several open applications. The taskbar at the bottom displays icons for 'Windows Search', 'Presentation', 'Microsoft PowerPoint', and 'Windows.jpg - Paint'. The system tray on the right shows the time as 11:46 PM.

File Edit Search Index View Options Help

<-->	Name	Score	Hits	Location	Date	Size	Index	
1	Handbook of New Product.pdf	100%	5,573	E:\UM\Thesis\Literature Review\Link 2009	2008/10/10	2,538,400	Link 2009	075068552
2	DBA Thesis.pdf	78%	3,020	E:\UM\Thesis\Literature Review\Link 2009	2009/02/03	2,662,734	Link 2009	Microsoft V
3	Virtual Workplaces.pdf	73%	6,390	E:\UM\Thesis\Literature Review\Link 2009	2009/04/09	7,070,659	Link 2009	Handbook c
4	Process implications.pdf	52%	918	E:\UM\Thesis\Literature Review\Link 2009	2009/02/03	186,624	Link 2009	doi:10.1016
5	Teaching and Learning With Virtual Teams_1591407087.pdf	50%	2,587	E:\UM\Thesis\Literature Review\Link 2009	2009/02/23	2,825,610	Link 2009	Teaching a
6	Nader-AJBAS 3(3)2653-2669-2009.pdf	48%	810	E:\UM\Thesis\Literature Review\Link 2009	2009/11/04	222,924	Link 2009	Nader-AJB.

2 / 14 133% Find

stances and offers related research propositions. The paper also discusses the role of the Internet in new product performance. Finally, the paper concludes with managerial and research implications.

1. New product development process and the role of the Internet

Past research has consistently shown that a high-quality new product development process is one of the most critical success factors in new product development [8,10–12]. As a result, it has offered numerous processes that firms can use when developing their new products. Cooper [13] defines a new product development process as a formal blueprint, roadmap, template or thought process for driving a new product project from the idea to market launch and beyond. The process involves predetermined set of stages and each stage consists of a set of prescribed, cross-functional and parallel activities. Each stage is preceded by a gate, controlling the flow of the process and providing a decision checkpoint in the process. Because of the stages and the

with the first and second-generation processes, the third-generation process emphasizes efficiency and effectiveness in the new product development process through four fundamental areas. First, it is fluid, which means that there are overlaps in stages for greater speed. Second, it involves fuzzy gates, reducing the rigidity of criteria used in the gates and allowing conditional or situational considerations of the activities. Third, it is more focused in terms of prioritizing projects. Finally, it is flexible, suggesting that each new product is unique and has its own unique development process [13].

There are also compelling issues that indicate that new product development process may not be uniform across firms and products. Takeuchi and Nanoka [14] argue that today's rapidly changing and competitive market conditions require firms to adopt a flexible and fast new product development process and that a holistic "rugby" style new product development might be needed to respond to the conditions. With this approach, new product teams move through all phases of the development together, passing the ball back and forth as they develop new products. Based on a case study, the authors concluded that it is possible to

start Google Search Desktop EN 09:49

Search Request: Questionnaire design

Total files: 259

Total hits: 1,852

Front_Cover.PDF

Hits: 8

Location: D:\Nader\UM\UM\Useful articles\Other Information\Doctorate
SG\Methods\Front_Cover.PDF

Size: 242,702 **Last modified:** 7/9/2012

[Page 1 Paragraph 27]

a standard form on which facts, comments

and attitudes can be recorded, and facilitate data processing.

This new edition of **Questionnaire Design** explains the role of questionnaires in market research, and looks at different types of questionnaire and when and how they

WhiteSmoke Writer Ginger Proofreader



Microsoft Word


Google Docs

Office Live

Adobe Acrobat Professional

DropBox





WhiteSmoke Writer 2010 General, Business, Creative Writing Versions

Templates Multilingual Dictionary Menu

Writing Review

Counts:
Sentence count 4
Word count 89

Scores:
Avg. sentence length 22.2
Passive verbs 0%
Negative sentences 25%
Informal expressions 0%
Complex words 15%

Total Alerts: 3

How to Improve Your Text:
- Correct your grammar mistakes
- Use shorter and simpler sentences (9-17 words per sentence).
- Use simpler words to improve readability.

WhiteSmoke Writing Index
7 out of 10 Fair

Show my activity report

Summary: 0 Spelling, 3 Grammar, 0 Style English Video Courses Check Apply

A small number of studies exclusively focused on the virtual R&D teams, *for example,* [21-24] and none of them concentrated on the virtual R&D teams for NPD in SMEs. *Incomplete sentence* This paper summary the key findings of earlier works on different aspects of virtual R&D teams in SMEs and establishes it *the rationale a rationale* rationale in new product development (NPD). It highlights the gaps and weaknesses in the existing literature on virtual teams in R&D management and in new product development in SMEs. Finally, it identifies the future developed under network cooperation, especially for high-tech industries [20].

A small number of studies exclusively focused on the virtual R&D teams, for example [21-24] and none of them concentrated on the virtual R&D teams for NPD in SMEs. This paper summary the key findings of earlier works on different aspects of virtual R&D teams in SMEs and establishes it rationale in new product development (NPD). It highlights the gaps and weaknesses in the existing literature on virtual teams in R&D management and in new product development in SMEs. Finally, it identifies the future research directions in the area of concern.

2-Review search methodology

Collaborative R&D activities involving SMEs has wide coverage. It applies to various activities ranging from information exchange to new products development. This review article is based on dependable and reputed publications. It mainly covers aspects like SMEs characteristics, scope of virtual R&D teams and their relationship in new product development (NPD). The articles are



Skip

We **reports** the relevant result of an online survey study.



Approve

We **report** the relevant result of an online survey study.

Abstract—In this paper, we present our more than two years research experiences on virtual R&D teams in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and draws conclusions, giving special attention to the structure of virtual teams required to support education-industry collaboration. We reports the relevant result of an online survey study. The online questionnaire was emailed by using the simple random sampling method to 947 manufacturing SMEs. The findings of this study show that SMEs in Malaysia and Iran are willing to use virtual teams for collaboration and the platform for industry-education collaboration is ready and distance between team members or differences in time zones, are not barriers to industry-education collaborations.





Avoid plagiarism

We use plagiarism Detection

The screenshot shows the 'Instructions for authors' page of the Journal of the Operational Research Society. The browser window displays the URL http://www.palgrave-journals.com/jors/author_instructions.html. The page content includes a list of research areas: Training, Transport, Travelling salesman, Urban studies, Vehicle routing, and Water. Below this list is the COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) logo and a badge for iThenticate plagiarism detection. The text states: 'This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the [Committee on Publication Ethics](#).' The footer contains the journal's ISSN information (ISSN 0160-5682 | EISSN 1476-9360), navigation links (About Palgrave Macmillan, Contact Us, Legal Notice, Privacy Policy, Accessibility Statement, RSS Web feeds, Help), and a copyright notice for 2011 Palgrave Macmillan, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. The copyright notice also mentions that Palgrave Macmillan Journals is a partner of INASP, JDP, CrossRef, COUNTER, COPE, and iThenticate, with a link to 'View Partners'. The browser's search bar shows the text 'relationship between SMEs' and the taskbar at the bottom shows the time as 9:49 AM.

() Similarity index (checked by iThenticate) is high, please revise to keep a Similarity Index $\leq 30\%$ and single source matches are not $>6\%$.

Home > Vol 5, No 2 (2013) > Objective Structured Clinical Examination: An optimized evaluation method

Objective Structured Clinical Examination: An optimized evaluation method

Commentary

Abstract







This article was retracted from publication due to it is a copied version of the original publication in "Oman Medical Journal" (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3191703/?report=classic>)

The journal is not hesitated to retract any duplicated articles or fake papers from publication.

About The Author

A B C

Article Tools

-  [Print this article](#)
-  [How to cite item](#)
-  [Finding References](#)
-  [Review policy](#)
-  [Email this article \(Login required\)](#)
-  [Email the author \(Login required\)](#)

User

Retraction: Retraction notice

Posted by [PLOS_ONE_Group](#) on 05 Sep 2013 at 16:33 GMT

0 Responses • **Most Recent** 05 Sep 2013 at 16:33 GMT

Retraction: Retraction notice

It has been brought to the attention of the PLOS ONE editors that substantial parts of the text in this article were appropriated from text in the following publications:

Identification and biochemical characterization of small-molecule inhibitors of Clostridium botulinum neurotoxin serotype A.

Roxas-Duncan V, Enyedy I, Montgomery VA, Eccard VS, Carrington MA, Lai H, Gul N, Yang DC, Smith LA.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009 Aug;53(8):3478-86

Eubanks LM, Hixon MS, Jin W, Hong S, Clancy CM, et al. (2007) An in vitro and in vivo disconnect uncovered through high-throughput identification of botulinum neurotoxin A antagonists. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 2602–2607.

PLOS ONE therefore retracts this article due to the identified case of plagiarism. PLOS ONE apologizes to the authors of the publications above and to the readers. ([comment on this retraction](#))

Penalty for Plagiarism



Retraction: Retraction notice

It has been brought to the attention of the PLOS ONE editors that substantial parts of the text in this article were appropriated from text in the following publications:


Identification and biochemical characterization of small-molecule inhibitors of Clostridium botulinum neurotoxin serotype A.

Roxas-Duncan V, Enyedy I, Montgomery VA, Eccard VS, Carrington MA, Lai H, Gul N, Yang DC, Smith LA.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009 Aug;53(8):3478-86

Eubanks LM, Hixon MS, Jin W, Hong S, Clancy CM, et al. (2007) An in vitro and in vivo disconnect uncovered through high-throughput identification of botulinum neurotoxin A antagonists. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 2602–2607.

PLOS ONE therefore retracts this article due to the identified case of plagiarism. PLOS ONE apologizes to the authors of the publications above and to the readers. ([comment on this retraction](#))



Clinics

Hospital das Clinicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Sao
Paulo

THIS ARTICLE HAS BEEN RETRACTED. See Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2013
October; 68(10): 1382.

An overview of recently published medical papers in Brazilian scientific journals

Mauricio Rocha e Silva and Ariane Gomes

[Additional article information](#)

Abstract

Penalty for Plagiarism

Outside of academia the problem of plagiarism continues to generate headlines and scandals for politicians. In Germany, two prominent cabinet members have been forced to step down due to allegations of plagiarism in their doctoral dissertations. Meanwhile, in Canada, the head of the nation's largest school district was forced to resign in the face of plagiarism allegations, and plagiarism scandals have also embroiled a senator in the Philippines, the prime minister of Romania, and several members of the Russian Duma.

Source: J. Bailey. "Defending Against Plagiarism, Publishers need to be proactive about detecting and deterring copied text.," 26 November; <http://www.the-scientist.com/?articles.view/articleNo/35677/title/Defending-Against-Plagiarism/>.

Full Length Research Paper

Computational study of environmental fate of ionic liquids using conductor-like screening model for real solvents (COSMO-RS) method

Zakari, A. Y., Waziri, S. M., Aderemi, B. O. and Mustapha, S. I.*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria.

The COSMO-RS method is an advanced method for the quantitative calculation of solvation mixture thermodynamics based on quantum chemistry. It was developed by Andreas Klamt and is distributed as the software COSMOtherm by his company COSMOlogic (as well as in the form of several remakes by others).

Some Nigerian researchers have used the software (without a license) and report a tremendously and completely unbelievably good correlation ($r^2=0.992$) between the predicted results and experimental data for the logKow (octanol water partition coefficient) of ionic liquids.

How do I avoid plagiarism?

- only hand in your own and original work.
- indicate precisely and accurately when you have used information provided by someone else, i.e. referencing must be done in accordance with a recognised system.
- indicate whether you have downloaded information from the Internet.
- never use someone else's electronic storage media, artwork, pictures or graphics as if it were your own.
- never copy directly without crediting the source
- do not translate without crediting the source
- do not paraphrase someone else's work without crediting the source
- do not piece together sections of the work of others into a new whole
- do not resubmit your own or other's previously graded work
- do not commit collusion (unauthorised collaboration, presenting work as one's own independent work, when it has been produced in whole or in part in collusion with other people)
- ghost-writing – you should not make use of ghost writers or professional agencies in the production of your work or submit material which has been written on your behalf

10 Major source of plagiarism

1. **Replication:** Submitting a paper to multiple publications in an attempt to get it published more than once
2. **Duplication:** Re-using work from one's own previous studies and papers without attribution
3. **Secondary Source:** Using a secondary source, but only citing the primary sources contained within the secondary one
4. **Misleading Attribution:** Removing an author's name, despite significant contributions; an inaccurate or insufficient list of authors who contributed to a manuscript
5. **Invalid Source:** Referencing either an incorrect or nonexistent source
6. **Paraphrasing:** Taking the words of another and using them alongside original text without attribution
7. **Repetitive Research:** Repeating data or text from a similar study with a similar methodology in a new study without proper attribution
8. **Unethical Collaboration:** Accidentally or intentionally use each other's written work without proper attribution; when people who are working together violate a code of conduct
9. **Verbatim:** copying of another's words and works without providing proper attribution, indentation or quotation marks
10. **Complete:** Taking a manuscript from another researcher and resubmitting it under one's own name

Source: [iThenticate \(2013\) SURVEY SUMMARY | Research Ethics: Decoding Plagiarism and Attribution in Research](#)

Submit Paper: by File Upload (Step 1 of 3)

Choose a paper item submission method:

Single file upload

First name *

Nader

Last name *

Aleebrahim

Submission title *

First Draft


The paper you are submitting will not be added to any paper repository.

Requirements for single file upload:

- File must be less than 20 MB
- The maximum paper length is 400 pages.
- File types allowed: MS Word, WordPerfect, PostScript, PDF, HTML, RTF, OpenOffice (ODT), Hangul (HWP) and plain text.

If your file exceeds 20 MB, read suggestions to meet requirements.

Browse for the file to upload *



Organize the references
(Reference management) tool

Writing a Thesis/Paper: Traditional way



Source: flickr/toennesen



zotero



Reference Management Tools

1. What is Reference Management Tool?

Reference Management Tools are software tools that can help you organize your references and citation, create reference lists/ bibliographies, collaborate with others online, and discover the latest research in your subject areas.

2. General Features

Maintain huge number of references for your dissertations or research projects

Manage, organize, cite and collaborate

Create and format references in various citation styles instantly

Import citations from databases, library catalogues, websites and more

Work on your references anytime, anywhere

Source: <https://www.lib.polyu.edu.hk/research-support/tools/ref-management-tools>

With EndNote you can:

- **Access your research from anywhere.** Online or off. On your desktop, online, or iPad.
- Search **hundreds of online databases** to find the most cutting-edge research.
- **Save valuable time** finding full-text articles and reference updates, creating bibliographies, and organizing your references.
- **Store your research** and related files all in one place.
- Add **searchable keywords, notes and comments** to your PDFs.
- **Share your references** and research with colleagues.

Export to EndNote

Home Browse Search My Settings Alerts Help
Quick Search All fields mountain geography Author []
search tips Journal/book title [] Volume [] Issue [] Page [] Clear X Go Go Advanced Search

18,541 articles found for: ALL(mountain geography)
Save Search | Save as Search Alert | RSS Feed

Full-text available Abstract only

Search Within Results: [] Search

Refine Results Limit To Exclude

Content Type
 Journal (17,584)
 Book (1,622)
 Reference Work (373)

Journal/Book Title
 Geomorphology (1,109)
 Quaternary Science Reviews (688)
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology (634)
 Quaternary International (540)
 Journal of Hydrology (426)
[view more](#)

Topic
 china (173)
 national park (165)
 late pleistocene (152)
 south america (128)
 ice sheet (127)
[view more](#)

Year
 2010 (494)
 2009 (2,161)
 2008 (1,884)

Export Citations

- Naturalising the Highlands: geographies of mountain fieldwork in late-Victorian Scotland**
Journal of Historical Geography, Volume 33, Issue 4, October 2007, Pages 791-815
Diarmid A. Finnegan
[Preview](#) [PDF \(434 K\)](#) | [Related Articles](#)
- Risk, rescue and emergency services: The changing spatialities of Mountain Rescue Teams in England and Wales**
Geoforum, In Press, Corrected Proof, Available online 14 December 2009
Richard Yarwood
[Preview](#) [PDF \(877 K\)](#) | [Related Articles](#)
- Mountain environments: An examination of the physical geography of mountains: A.J. Gerrard. Belhaven Press, London, UK, 1990, vii + 317 pp., £30.00. (cloth)**
Geomorphology, Volume 4, Issue 5, March 1992, Pages 363-364
Steven P. Weller
[Preview](#) [Related Articles](#)
- Where are the sustainable forestry projects?: A geography of NGO interventions in Ecuador**
Applied Geography, Volume 27, Issues 3-4, October 2007, Pages 131-149
Lena M. Raberg, Thomas K. Rudel
[Preview](#) [PDF \(2094 K\)](#) | [Related Articles](#)
- Accounting for scale: Measuring geography in quantitative studies of civil war**
Political Geography, Volume 24, Issue 4, May 2005, Pages 399-418
Halvard Buhaug, Päivi Lujala
[Preview](#) [PDF \(573 K\)](#) | [Related Articles](#)
- The dynamics of mountain geosystems in southern Siberia**
Geography and Natural Resources, Volume 29, Issue 2, June 2008, Pages 103-109
V.M. Plyusnin, O.V. Drozdova, A.D. Kitov, S.N. Kovalenko
[Preview](#) [PDF \(1796 K\)](#) | [Related Articles](#)

ScienceDirect (Elsevier) allows you to check your desired citations, then click on the "Export Citations" link...

ScienceDirect - Export Documents - Mozilla Firefox

File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=DownloadURL&_method=confirm&refSource=search&pdfDownloadSort=r&PDF_DC

ENX3_GettingStartedGuide_WinMac.pd... ScienceDirect - Export Documents



Brought to you by:
University of Idaho Library

Home Browse Search My Settings Alerts Help

Quick Search All fields mountain geography Author

search tips Journal/book title Volume Issue

Export Citations

To export the 4 selected **citation + links**, select your preferred formats and click **Export**.

Content Format:

- Citations Only
- Citations and Abstracts

Export Format:

- RIS format (for Reference Manager, ProCite, EndNote)
- RefWorks Direct Export [About Refworks](#)
- ASCII format
- BibTeX format

Export **Cancel**

Opening science

You have chosen to open

science
which is a: RIS Formatted File
from: http://www.sciencedirect.com

What should Firefox do with this file?

- Open with:** ResearchSoft Direct Export Helper (default)
- Save File
- Do this automatically for files like this from now on.

OK **Cancel**

Home Browse Search My Settings Alerts Help

[About ScienceDirect](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Information for Advertisers](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

Copyright © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. ScienceDirect® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

... then you select which pieces of information you really want in your EndNote database, using the radio buttons, then click on the “Export” button to bring up the dialog box we have seen before to transfer the temporary file into EndNote



Writing Literature Review

Paper Structure

- Title
- Affiliation
- Abstract
- Keywords
- Nomenclatures
- Introduction
- Materials and methods
- Results and Discussions
- Conclusions
- References



Organization of a Research Paper: The IMRAD Format

The IMRAD Format—Main Sections of a Scientific Paper

Section	Purpose
Title	What the paper is about
Authors	Names and affiliations of authors
Keywords	Words other than those in title that best describe the paper
Abstract	A stand-alone, short narrative of the paper
Introduction	<i>Why this paper?</i> The problem, what is not known, the objective of the study
Materials and methods	<i>How was the study done?</i>
Results	<i>What did you find?</i>
Discussion	<i>What does it mean? What next?</i> Interpretation of results and future directions
Conclusion	Possible implications
Acknowledgments	<i>Who helped and how; what was the funding source?</i>
References	Details of papers cited
Appendices	Supplementary materials

Source: Nair, P. R., & Nair, V. D. (2014). Organization of a Research Paper: The IMRAD Format. In *Scientific Writing and Communication in Agriculture and Natural Resources* (pp. 13-25). Springer International Publishing.

The “Fast Article Writing” Methodology

Table 1. Fast Organizing: Article Checklist for an Original Research Article, Formatted for Many Science Journals

<i>No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Text Pages</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Status/Comments</i>
1	Title Page	1		
2	Abstract	1		
3	Keywords	6 words		
4	Introduction	2-3		
5	Materials and Methods	3 (total)		
	a) Study Area	1		
	b) Sampling	1		
	c) Laboratory Analysis and Statistics	1		
6	Results and Discussion	2-3		
7	Conclusions	1		
8	Acknowledgments	2-3 sent.		
9	References	20 ref.		
10	List of Figures	1		
	Figure 1	1		
	Figure 2	1		
	Figure 3	1		
	Table 1	1		
	Table 2	1		
	Table 3	1		



Comments:

1. Text pages do not include figures and tables, which are attached separately at the end of the document.
2. Text should be double-spaced and lines numbered.

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)



PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be	

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org.

We often write in the following order:

- Figures and Tables
- Materials and Methods
- Results and Discussion
- Conclusions
- Introduction
- Abstract and Title



Source: [How to Write a World Class Paper, From title to references, From submission to revision Forum Scientum Workshop, 2011-8-22](#)

Presented By: [Anthony P F Turner and Alice Tang Turner Editor-In-Chief and Managing Editor, Biosensors & Bioelectronics](#)

How to... write an abstract

What is an abstract?

A definition

An abstract is a succinct summary of a longer piece of work, usually academic in nature, which is published in isolation from the main text and should therefore stand on its own and be understandable without reference to the longer piece. It should report the latter's essential facts, and should not exaggerate or contain material that is not there.

Its purpose is to act as a reference tool (for example in a library abstracting service), enabling the reader to decide whether or not to read the full text.

Source: <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/authors/guides/write/abstracts.htm?part=1#2>

Abstract

Abstract should **not exceed 300** words (**without reference**).

Abstract must include following sections:

Problem Statement: This section should include answers of the questions:

- **Why was research needed?.**
- **What was the context of the work?.**
- **Introduce the problem or provide background for what you will address.**

Approach:

- **What did you do and how did you go about solving or making progress on the problem.**
- **Describe the method of research, study, or analysis applied to the problem.**

Results:

- **What results did you get?**
- **State what you found and relate it to the problem.**
- **Summarize the major results in numbers, avoid vague, hand waving results such as “very small” or “significant”.**

Conclusions/Recommendations:

- **What are the implications of your answer?**
- **State the relevance, implications, or significance of the results or conclusions, to the business.**
- **Significance of work is often implied by the recommendations or implications for future work.**

A Structured Abstract

Purpose of this paper

What are the reason(s) for writing the paper or the aims of the research?

**Design/methodology/
approach**

How are the objectives achieved? Include the main method(s) used for the research. What is the approach to the topic and what is the theoretical or subject scope of the paper?

Findings

What was found in the course of the work? This will refer to analysis, discussion, or results.

**Research
limitations/implications (if
applicable)**

If research is reported on in the paper this section must be completed and should include suggestions for future research and any identified limitations in the research process.

**Practical implications
(if applicable)**

What outcomes and implications for practice, applications and consequences are identified? Not all papers will have practical implications but most will. What changes to practice should be made as a result of this research/paper?

**Social Implications (if
applicable)**

What will be the impact on society of this research? How will it influence public attitudes? How will it influence (corporate) social responsibility or environmental issues? How could it inform public or industry policy? How might it affect quality of life?

**What is original/value of
paper**

What is new in the paper? State the value of the paper and to whom.

Choose a category for the paper


- **Research paper.** This category covers papers which report on any type of research undertaken by the author(s). The research may involve the construction or testing of a model or framework, action research, testing of data, market research or surveys, empirical, scientific or clinical research.
- **Viewpoint.** Any paper, where content is dependent on the author's opinion and interpretation, should be included in this category; this also includes journalistic pieces.
- **Technical paper.** Describes and evaluates technical products, processes or services.
Conceptual paper. These papers will not be based on research but will develop hypotheses. The papers are likely to be discursive and will cover philosophical discussions and comparative studies of others' work and thinking.
- **Case study.** Case studies describe actual interventions or experiences within organizations. They may well be subjective and will not generally report on research. A description of a legal case or a hypothetical case study used as a teaching exercise would also fit into this category.
- **Literature review.** It is expected that all types of paper cite any relevant literature so this category should only be used if the main purpose of the paper is to annotate and/or critique the literature in a particular subject area. It may be a selective bibliography providing advice on information sources or it may be comprehensive in that the paper's aim is to cover the main contributors to the development of a topic and explore their different views.
- **General review.** This category covers those papers which provide an overview or historical examination of some concept, technique or phenomenon. The papers are likely to be more descriptive or instructional ("how to" papers) than discursive
- Source: <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/authors/guides/write/abstracts.htm?part=1#2>

Ten Simple (Empirical) Rules for Writing Science


plos.org create account sign in

PLOS COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY

Browse Publish About

Search 

[advanced search](#)

 OPEN ACCESS

EDITORIAL

Ten Simple (Empirical) Rules for Writing Science

Cody J. Weinberger, James A. Evans, Stefano Allesina 

Published: April 30, 2015 • <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004205>

327 Save	1 Citation
41,925 View	1,265 Share

Article Authors Metrics Comments Related Content



Download PDF 

Print Share


Rule 1: Keep It Short

Rule 2: Keep It Compact

Rule 3: Keep It Simple

Rule 4: Use the Present Tense

Figures



 CrossMark

Included in the
Following Collection

[Ten Simple Rules](#)

Source: Weinberger, C. J., Evans, J. A., & Allesina, S. (2015). Ten Simple (Empirical) Rules for Writing Science. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 11(4), e1004205. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004205

Ten Simple (Empirical) Rules for Writing Science

- **Rule 1: Keep It Short**
- **Rule 2: Keep It Compact**
- **Rule 3: Keep It Simple**
- **Rule 4: Use the Present Tense**
- **Rule 5: Avoid Adjectives and Adverbs**
- **Rule 6: Focus**
- **Rule 7: Signal Novelty and Importance**
- **Rule 8: Be Bold**
- **Rule 9: Show Confidence**
- **Rule 10: Avoid Evocative Words**

HOW TO WRITE/EDIT SCIENTIFIC PAPERS

(I) MINDSET, (II) CONCEPTS, AND (III) LOGIC

Writing your literature review

Writing your literature review takes time. You may need to complete several drafts before your final copy. It is important to have a good introduction that clearly tells the reader what the literature will be about.

An introduction must tell the reader the following:

- **what you are going to cover in the review**
- **the scope of your research**
- **how the review ties in with your own research topic.**

Source: https://www.dlsweb.rmit.edu.au/lsu/content/2_AssessmentTasks/assess_tuts/lit_review_LL/writing.html

Introduction

This is a good example of an introduction because it has a topic sentence which indicates what will be covered and also tells the reader the specific focus of the literature review in the concluding sentence.

Topic sentence - identifies five major themes as the scope of this review

Many theories have been proposed to explain what motivates human behaviour. **Although the literature covers a wide variety of such theories, this review will focus on five major themes which emerge repeatedly throughout the literature reviewed.** These themes are: incorporation of the **self-concept** into traditional theories of motivation, the influence of **rewards** on motivation, the increasing importance of **internal forces** of motivation, **autonomy and self-control** as sources of motivation, and **narcissism** as an essential component of motivation. **Although the literature presents these themes in a variety of contexts, this paper will primarily focus on their application to self-motivation.**

5 major themes to be covered

Concluding sentence - specific focus

Paragraphs

A paragraph is a group of connected sentences that develop a single point, argument or idea. Paragraphs need to link to other paragraphs so that the themes, arguments or ideas developed are part of a coherent whole rather than separate bits.

A paragraph should include:

- **a main statement / idea that you are putting forward, ie topic sentence**
- **evidence from research to support / argue your idea, showing where the writers agree and / or disagree**
- **student analysis of the research literature where appropriate**
- **summing up and linking to the next idea (paragraph).**

In the literature review, you will need to show evidence of integrating your readings into each paragraph and analysis of the readings where necessary.

Source: https://www.dlswweb.rmit.edu.au/lsu/content/2_AssessmentTasks/assess_tuts/lit_review_LL/writing.html

Integrating arguments in paragraphs

Integration of multiple sources

To develop an integrated argument from multiple sources, you need to link your arguments together. The model below is a guide.

Topic sentence - outlining your main claim or key point for that paragraph



Most early theories of motivation were concerned with need satisfaction. Robbins, Millett, Cacioppe and Waters-Marsh (1998) argued that motivation relies on what a person needs and wants. Similarly the early theories of Maslow and McGregor (Robbins et al. 1998) focused on personal needs satisfaction as the basis for motivational behaviour. However, recent studies outlined by Leonard, Beauvais, and Scholl (1999) suggest that personality and disposition play an equally important role in motivation. Current thinking does not discount these theories, but simply builds on them to include a self-concept.

Supporting evidence from the readings



Contrasting theories from research



Concluding sentence - linking to the next paragraph

Integrating arguments in paragraphs

Integration of student analysis

It is important to integrate your analysis and interpretation of the literature in your literature review. Read the following paragraph and see how the arguments have been integrated into the paragraph along with student analysis. Analysis is not just student opinion, it needs to be supported by the literature.

Topic sentence - outlining your main claim or key point for that paragraph

First statement of evidence from the literature

By its very nature, motivation requires a degree of individual satisfaction or narcissism. Robbins, Millet, Cacioppe, and Waters-Marsh (1998) suggest that motivation has as its very basis the need to focus on, and please the self. This is supported by Shaw, Shapard and Waugaman (2000) who contend that this narcissistic drive is based on the human effort to find personal significance in life. It can be argued that the desire to improve one's status is a highly motivational force, and is central to the idea of narcissistic motivation. The narcissistic motivational strategies put forward by Shaw et al. (2000) are concerned with motivation for life in general, but may also have applications in the context of work. These strategies, with their focus on personal needs, demonstrate that narcissism is an essential component of motivation.

Second statement of evidence from the literature

Student analysis

Concluding statement

Example of Citations

Other research also indicates that individual and group marks should be combined in-group activities (Buchy & Quinlan, 2000; Lim et al., 2003; Romano & Nunamaker, 1998).

Figure 5: Pointing at the literature

Buchy and Quinlan (2000) interviewed 36 students participating in tutorial groups. These interviews indicated that the students felt they were becoming more conscious of learning processes of both themselves and their peers.

Figure 6: Knowledge-level mastery

Example of Citations

Han and Kamber (2001) suggest an evolution that moves from data collection and database creation, towards data management, and ultimately, data analysis and understanding.

Figure 7: Pre-comprehension level mastery

Han and Kamber (2001) suggest an evolution that moves from data collection and database creation, towards data management, and ultimately, data analysis and understanding. For example, *data processing* is a base function enabling manipulation and aggregation of data, thus facilitating searching and retrieval.

Figure 8: Comprehension-level mastery

Verbs for referencing

Suggest (that)	Recent studies outlined by Leonard et al (1999) suggest that personality and disposition play an equally important role in motivation.
Argue (that)	Leonard et al (1999) argue that there are three elements of self perception.
Contend(s)	Mullens (1994) contends that motivation to work well is usually related to job satisfaction.
Outline	Recent studies outlined by Mullins (1994) suggest that personality and disposition play an equally important role in motivation.
Focus on	The early theories of Maslow and McGregor (Robbins et al, 1998) focused on personal needs and wants as the basis for motivation.
Define(s)	Eunson (1987, p. 67) defines motivation as 'what is important to you'.
Conclude(s) (that)	Reviewing the results of the case study, Taylor (1980) concludes that the theories of job enrichment and employee motivation do work.
State	He further states that there is an increasing importance on the role of autonomy and self regulation of tasks in increasing motivation.
Maintains (that)	Mullins (1994) maintains that job enrichment came from Herzber's two factor theory.
Found (that)	Mullins (1994) found that there is an increasing importance on the role of autonomy and self regulation of tasks in improving motivation.
Promote(s)	This promotes the idea that tension and stress are important external sources of motivation, which can be eliminated by completing certain tasks.
Establish(ed) (by)	As established by Csikszentmihalyi (Yair 2000, p. 2) 'the more students feel in command of their learning, the more they fulfil their learning potential'.
Asserts (that)	Locke's Goal Setting Theory asserts that setting specific goals tends to encourage work motivation (Robbins et al, 1998).
Show(s)	Various theories of motivation show employers that there are many factors that influence employees work performance.
Claim(s) (that)	Hackman and Oldham (1975) claim that people with enriched jobs, and high scores on the Job Diagnostic Survey, experienced more satisfaction and motivation.
Report(s)	Mullins (1994) reports on four content theories of motivation.
Mention(s)	Mullins (1994) mentions two common general criticisms of Herzberg's theory.
Address	Redesigning jobs so that responsibility moved from supervisors to the workers, was an attempt to address the issues of job satisfaction (Mullins, 1994).

Next
Activity 1

Source: https://www.dlsweb.rmit.edu.au/lisu/content/2_assessmenttasks/assess_tuts/lit_review_LL/verbs.html



Guidelines translations:

[Arabic](#)

[Bangla](#)

[Bosnian](#)

[Chinese](#)

[Croatian](#)

[Czech](#)

[Estonian](#)

[French](#)

[Hungarian](#)

[Italian](#)

[Japanese](#)

[Korean](#)

[Persian](#)

[Polish](#)

[Portuguese-Brazilian](#)

[Romanian](#)

[Russian](#)

[Spanish](#)

[Turkish](#)

Before submission, follow ***EASE*** ***Guidelines for Authors and Translators***, freely available in many languages at www.ease.org.uk/publications/author-guidelines. Adherence should increase the chances of acceptance of submitted manuscripts.

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors



[Recommendations](#)

[Conflicts of Interest](#)

[Journals](#)

[Following the ICMJE Recommendations](#)

[About ICMJE](#)

[Recommendations](#)

[Browse](#)

[About the Recommendations](#)

[Roles & Responsibilities](#)

[Publishing & Editorial Issues](#)

[Manuscript Preparation](#)

[Preparing for Submission](#)

[Sending the Submission](#)

[Translations](#)

[Archives](#)

[Subscribe to Changes](#)

[Home](#) > [Recommendations](#) > [Browse](#) > [Manuscript Preparation](#) > [Preparing for Submission](#)

Preparing for Submission

PAGE CONTENTS

1. General Principles
2. Reporting Guidelines
3. Manuscript Sections
 - a. Title Page
 - b. Abstract
 - c. Introduction
 - d. Methods
 - e. Results
 - f. Discussion
 - g. References
 - h. Tables
 - i. Illustrations (Figures)
 - j. Units of Measurement
 - k. Abbreviations and Symbols


Examples

- [Example 1](#)
- [Example 2](#)
- [Example 3](#)
- [Example 4](#)
- [Example 5](#)
- [Example 6](#)

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)



PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram



From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org.

Systematic Literature Review Summary Table

	Concept 1	Concept 2	...	Concept n
Article 1	X			X
Article 2		X		
...			X	X
Article n		X	X	

Source: Levy, Y., & Ellis, T. J. (2006). [A systems approach to conduct an effective literature review in support of information systems research](#). *Informing Science: International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline*, 9(1), 181-212.

Example excel databases of Systematic Quantitative Literature Reviews

Systematic quantitative literature review

Griffith School of
Environment

Our Research

- ▶ Marine Science Research
- ▶ PhD students
- ▶ Systematic quantitative literature review
- ▶ Learning & teaching

Overview

The systematic quantitative literature review is a smart and effective method for undertaking literature reviews particularly for research students and others new to a discipline.

Reliable, quantifiable and reproducible



It bridges the gap between traditional narrative review methods and meta-analysis. Narrative methods that are commonly used in many research theses, rely on the expertise and experience of the author, making them challenging for novices. In contrast, the method we use and recommend involves systematically searching the literature using online database and other sources to find all relevant papers that fit specific criteria (systematically identifying the literature), entering information about

Here are examples of the types of excel databases used in some Systematic Quantitative Literature Reviews:

[Steven et al. 2011 database of papers on impacts nature based tourism on birds \(XLSX 16KB\)](#)

[Ballantyne and Pickering In review databases of papers on environmental impacts of recreation trails \(XLSX 32KB\)](#)

[Byrne and Portanger 2014 database of papers climate change, energy policy and justice \(XLSX 48KB\)](#)

Appendix B: Data Tables

Source Information			Search Results		Subjects		Analysis Results										Notes: Product / Industry / Application						
No	Author(s)	Year	Modularity	Commonality	Product	Process	Organization	Innovation	Quality	Variety	Cost	Time	Other	Theory-Building	Framework	Process Model		Math. Modeling	Simulation	Experiment	Empirical (large n)	Case Study (small n)	Review
1	Akcay and Xu	2004	1	1	1					1							1						Non-product specific assemble-to-order systems
2	Alfaro and Corbett	2003	1	1	1					1							1						Chemical films for the automotive industry
3	Anderson and Parker	2002	1	1	1					1			1				1						Automobiles as examples
4	Baker et al.	1988	1	1	1					1							1						Non-product-specific inventory model
5	Balakrishnan and Brown	1996	1	1	1	1				1							1						Aluminum tube manufacturing
6	Balakrishnan et al.	1996	1	1	1	1				1							1						Non-product-specific assemble-to-forecast systems
7	Baldwin and Clark	1997	1	1	1	1				1	1				1		1						Examples from computer and auto industries
8	Baldwin and Clark	2000	1	1	1	1	1	1						1			1						Computer
9	Bartezzaghi and Verganti	1995	1	1	1	1								1			1						Telecommunication equipment
10	Bi and Zhang	2001	1	1	1	1				1	1	1			1		1					1	Several conceptual products as descriptions
11	Blackburn et al.	1996	1	1	1	1			1			1	1				1						Software
12	Browning	2001	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1		1								Automobile climate control
13	Cetin and Saitou	2004	1	1	1	1			1	1	1					1	1						Bicycle frame example
14	Cetin and Saitou	2004	1	1	1	1			1	1	1					1	1						Automotive space frame
15	Cetin and Saitou	2005	1	1	1	1			1	1	1					1	1						Automotive space frame
16	Cheung	2002	1	1	1	1					1						1						Non-product-specific inventory model
17	Cheung and Hausman	1995	1	1	1	1					1						1						Aircraft engine repair
18	Chooibneh and Mohebbi	2004	1	1	1	1					1	1	1					1					Non-product-specific inventory (kit preparation) model
19	Collier	1982	1	1	1	1					1						1						Non-product-specific inventory model
20	Desai et al.	2001	1	1	1	1			1	1	1						1						Model balancing cost savings and revenue decrease; examples from the auto industry
21	Deshpande et al.	2003	1	1	1	1					1						1						Non-product-specific inventory model
22	Djelic and Ainamo	1999	1	1	1	1							1										Luxury fashion industry
23	Dong and Chen	2005	1	1	1	1					1				1			1					Non-product-specific supply chain model
24	Du et al.	2001	1	1	1	1				1	1				1		1						Power supplies
25	Duray	2004	1	1	1	1							1								1		Manufactured products
26	Duray et al.	2000	1	1	1	1							1								1		Manufactured products
27	Ethiraj and Levinthal	2004	1	1	1	1							1					1					Non-product-specific simulation study
28	Ethiraj and Levinthal	2004	1	1	1	1			1									1					Microchip
29	Evans	1983	1	1	1	1				1	1						1						Screw assortment for creating kits
30	Eynan and Fouque	2003	1	1	1	1					1						1						Non-product-specific demand reshape model
31	Eynan and Rosenblatt	1996	1	1	1	1					1						1						Non-product-specific inventory Model
32	Farrell and Simpson	2003	1	1	1	1						1				1							Yokes used to mount valve actuators
33	Fellini et al.	2005	1	1	1	1			1		1						1						Automotive body side frame
34	Ferrer and Whybark	2001	1	1	1	1					1		1										Automobile component remanufacturing
35	Fine et al.	2005	1	1	1	1	1					1	1				1						High-level example from the auto industry
36	Fisher et al.	1999	1	1	1	1				1	1						1				1		Automotive Brakes
37	Fixson	2005	1	1	1	1							1										Automotive Doors
38	Fleming and Sorenson	2001	1	1	1	1		1							1								Walkman as illustration
39	Fleming and Sorenson	2001	1	1	1	1		1															Patents
40	Fujita and Yoshida	2004	1	1	1	1			1		1						1	1					Family of aircrafts
41	Galvin	1999	1	1	1	1				1			1										Bicycles
42	Garud and Kumaraswamy	1985	1	1	1	1				1	1			1	1								Microcomputers, automobiles as examples

FIXSON, S. 2007. Modularity and commonality research: past developments and future opportunities. Concurrent Engineering, 15, 85.

Task for third session

- Install Dtsearch and create a report based on the most frequent keywords
- Install and use EndNote software
- Write an Introductory paragraph
- Write an integrating arguments paragraph
- Write a structured abstract
- Create a literature review table
- Write a first draft of the literature review manuscript
- Check the manuscript with Turnitin

My recent publications

Springer Link
Search [] [] []
Home • Contact Us

HUMAN KINETICS JOURNALS
Sign in / Create an Account / My Information / My Cart Search All Journals GO

JOURNAL OF AGING AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
The Official Journal of the International Coalition for Aging and Physical Activity
ABOUT SUBSCRIBE / RENEW CONTENTS FOR AUTHORS FOR EDITORS & REVIEWERS SUPPORT
Journals / JAPA / JAPA Contents / JAPA In Press
JAPA Contents JAPA In Press
Activity and Aging Research: A Bibliometric
Original Research
ndre Matthias Müller¹, Payam Ansari¹, Nader Ale Ebrahim², and
o¹

NCBI Resources How To
PubMed
US National Library of Medicine
National Institutes of Health
Advanced
Send to

Iranian Journal of Public Health
Cancer: a Quantitative and
Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences
HOME ABOUT LOGIN CATEGORIES SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ETHIC STATEMENT EDITORIAL
BOARD OTHER JOURNALS PUBLICATION FEE AUTHOR GUIDELINES SUBMISSION DEADLINES
Home > Vol 8, No 2 (2017) > Muhammad
Impact of Article Page
Related Fields: A Sy
Abubakar AHMED, Mastura
ABSTRACT
The Rise of "Trade Liberalization": Bibliometric Analysis of Trade Liberalization Study
Murtala Muhammad, Abubakar Ahmed, Gold Kafilah Lola, Usman Mikail Usman, Nader Ale Ebrahim
Background: Citation metric and visibility of a field. Hence performance metric index. M scientific publications. Yet, it aimed to provide an insight
Abstract
The purpose of this research is to assess the universal scientific trends and examine the patterns in the intellectual research published on trade liberalization over a period of 35 years (1980-2015). The data were collected from a leading indexing and abstracting database Thomson Reuters Web of Science. The Kruskal-Wallis test, ANOVA and Pearson's correlation were employed in analyzing the retrieved data. Based on the citation trend of first 100 highly cited published articles with the least number of authors are found to have received the highest number of citations. Our result shows that there is actual statistical significance ($p < 0.001$) between the total citations attracted by articles published by 1 author and those published by 3 and 4 authors. The word trade liberalization has become dominant and consistent in the field of the study. These research trend and interest could provide focus to researchers for future research.

JPBR
International Journal of Pro
HOME ABOUT LOGIN REGISTER
ANNOUNCEMENTS CONGRESO CITURS
TUTORIALS - JPBREVIEW GUIDELINES FOR A
Home > Vol 1, No 1 (2016) > Nagaratnam
A BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS ON "FERTILIT RESEARCH TRENDS"
Shalini Nagaratnam, Nader Ale Ebrahim, Muzafar Shah Habibullah
ABSTRACT

ICAPA
International Coalition for Aging and Physical Activity
HELPING THE WORLD AGE ACTIVELY

Like HK Journals on Facebook

Full text links
ASIAN PACIFIC ORGANIZATION for CANCER PREVENTION Academic edition

Save items
Add to Favorites

Similar articles
Research progress in
deriver [Neural Regen

Article Metrics
Social Mentions 10

All [] View Article
Search []

Questions?




E-mail: aleebrahim@um.edu.my



Twitter: [@aleebrahim](https://twitter.com/aleebrahim)



www.researcherid.com/rid/C-2414-2009
<http://scholar.google.com/citations>



Nader Ale Ebrahim, PhD

=====
Centre for Research Services
Institute of Management and Research Services
University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
www.researcherid.com/rid/C-2414-2009
<http://scholar.google.com/citations>

References

1. Ale Ebrahim, N. (2013). Introduction to the Research Tools mind map. *Research World*, 10, Article A10.4. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2280007>
2. Levy, Y., & Ellis, T. J. (2006). A systems approach to conduct an effective literature review in support of information systems research. *Informing Science: International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline*, 9(1), 181-212.
3. Nair, PK Ramachandran, and Vimala D. Nair. "Organization of a Research Paper: The IMRAD Format." *Scientific Writing and Communication in Agriculture and Natural Resources*. Springer International Publishing, 2014. 13-25.
4. J. Bailey. "Defending Against Plagiarism, Publishers need to be proactive about detecting and deterring copied text.," 26 November; <http://www.the-scientist.com/?articles.view/articleNo/35677/title/Defending-Against-Plagiarism/>
5. How to Write a World Class Paper, From title to references, From submission to revision Forum Scientum Workshop ,2011-8-22 Presented By: Anthony P F Turner and Alice Tang Turner Editor-In-Chief and Managing Editor, Biosensors & Bioelectronics
6. Weinberger, C. J., Evans, J. A., & Allesina, S. (2015). Ten Simple (Empirical) Rules for Writing Science. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 11(4), e1004205. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004205
7. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). *Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement*. *PLoS Med* 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097
8. MOHAMMADJAFARI, M., AHMED, S., DAWAL, S. Z. M. & ZAYANDEHROODI, H. 2011(Article in press). The Importance of Project Management in SMEs for the Development of New Products through E-Collaboration. *African Journal of Business Management*.
9. FIXSON, S. 2007. Modularity and commonality research: past developments and future opportunities. *Concurrent Engineering*, 15, 85.

My recent publication:

1. Muhammad, M., Ahmed, A., Lola, G. K., Mikail Usman, U., & Ale Ebrahim, N. (2017). The Rise of "Trade Liberalization": Bibliometric Analysis of Trade Liberalization Study. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(2), 97-104. <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2928551>

My recent presentations:

1. Ale Ebrahim, Nader (2017): Improving Research Visibility Part 4: Open Access Repositories. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5010749.v1>
2. Ale Ebrahim, Nader (2017): LITERATURE REVIEWING WITH RESEARCH TOOLS, Part 2: Finding proper articles. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5005421.v1>
3. Ale Ebrahim, Nader (2017): LITERATURE REVIEWING WITH RESEARCH TOOLS, Part 1: Systematic Review. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5005412.v1>
4. Ale Ebrahim, Nader (2017): Citation Tracking for Future Collaboration and Improving H-index. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4982114.v1>
5. Ale Ebrahim, Nader (2017): Improving Research Visibility Part 3: Online Profiles. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4959788.v1>